Physiological response of grapevines to vascular pathogens: a review
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Abstract The successful infection of a grapevine vascular system by a plant pathogen and expression of disease symptoms occur only when the pathogen has overcome the wound response and other defences of the vine. Even when pathogens do successfully infect the vascular system of grapevines, symptom expression is not often observed in the first season. Symptoms may be observed in one year but the same vine can have reduced or no symptoms the following season. Information is presented on physiological stress in association with trunk diseases as one factor that may contribute to symptom expression in vines. A hypothesis of grapevine wound response is proposed as part of the discussion of vine physiological response. Information on individual trunk diseases and physiological interactions is also provided.
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INTRODUCTION

A complex series of interactions between the causal agent and the grapevine has already occurred before trunk disease symptoms are observed on vines in the vineyard. Many of the steps before the expression of trunk disease symptoms occur in the wood, where they are not easily observed. The time delay between infection and symptom expression with diseases like eutypa dead-arm makes understanding the relationship between disease and symptom expression more difficult.

A successful trunk pathogen has contended with the vine's wound response and other defences. Toxins produced by the pathogen and other pathogenicity factors have had the opportunity to interact with the vine's normal physiological function. During infection and establishment, the pathogen can colonise other tissue as well as/before the vessels (Pascoe & Cottral 2000). In order to induce vascular disease symptoms, the xylem cell's function is often reduced (Hopkins 1989; Edwards et al. 2007). A vine responding to an infection will have a limited pool of resources to allocate to defence mechanisms. Abiotic stress may limit the vine's ability to allocate resources to infection, resulting in subsequent colonisation of tissues by the pathogen.

Often disease symptoms are both spatially and temporally removed from the initial infection of the vine (Rudelle et al. 2005; Sosnowski et al. 2007). When symptoms are observed in woody plants, the expression may not be the same from
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season to season (Octave et al. 2006; Sosnowski et al. 2007), possibly because of changes in the vine reserves. Host response to vascular infection is a dynamic interaction between host and parasite in which resistance is the rule and susceptibility the exception (Beckman 1964).

PRUNING WOUND RESPONSE

Using a model system for wound response in flowering plants and investigations of grapevine pathogen interactions, a hypothesis of grapevine response for further investigation has been generated (see below). General wound response of flowering plants has received considerable study and review (Bloch 1941; Bostock & Stermer 1989). The structure and physiology of grapevines, which may be important to wound response, have also been investigated and summarised (Pratt 1974; Mullins et al. 1992). Understanding grapevine wound response is important, as several grapevine vascular pathogens are believed to enter the vine via mechanical injuries such as pruning wounds (Eutypa lata, Botryosphaeria species, Phaeoacremonium species) (Mundy & Manning 2010; Rolshausen et al. 2010; Úrbez-Torres & Gubler 2010). Recent studies of grapevine wounds (Pascoe & Cottral 2000; Harvey & Hunt 2006; Sun et al. 2006; Eskalen et al. 2007; Sun et al. 2007; Weber et al. 2007; Sun et al. 2008; Rolshausen et al. 2010; Úrbez-Torres & Gubler 2010) and the use of wound dressings (Moller & Kasimatis 1980; Jaspers 2001; John et al. 2005) in relation to grapevine trunk disease pathogens have provided observations for the model proposed.

Plants resist pathogen attack via multilayered constitutive and inducible defences. For example, high lignin production in cell walls has been reported to confer tolerance to E. lata (Rolshausen et al. 2008). When a plant is cut (or mechanically damaged), resulting in disruption to the vascular system, a multi-step wound response is activated (Bloch 1941; Bostock & Stermer 1989; Hawkins & Boudet 1996). Wound responses take place at cellular and tissue levels, with the degeneration or necrosis of cells at the wound site providing signals to surrounding healthy cells (Bloch 1941). The wound response signalling pathway shares common components (e.g. jasmonate signals) with those activated following insect and pathogen attack. The rate of response has implications for the disease resistance of the plant (Bostock & Stermer 1989).

Generalised cell response to wounding includes signalling pathways that are triggered by elicitors, including ion fluxes, oxidative burst and synthesis of signal compounds such as ethylene, salicylic acid and jasmonic acid (Belhadj et al. 2006). The pathogen may act on the elicitor signal pathways, producing toxin-induced symptoms remote from the site of production (Valtaud et al. 2009). These defence pathways include reinforcement of plant cell walls, accumulation of phytoalexins and other antimicrobial compounds, and proteins inhibitory or hydrolytically active towards microbes may be induced (Belhadj et al. 2006).

Bostock & Stermer (1989) described three wound response types in plants, with the most complex being observed in woody perennial stems as well as potato tubers, which have been used as a model system for understanding the response. The response process involves three key steps: (i) the cells adjacent to the wound dying from autolysis, (ii) existing parenchyma cells undergoing redifferentiation and lignosuberization to form a boundary zone with increased physical resistance and (iii) the formation of a suberized wound periderm below the boundary zone as a result of meristematic activity (Bostock & Stermer 1989). Biochemical changes in individual cells’ induced responses to pathogen attack and/or mechanical wounding include the accumulation of phenols, phytoalexin production, synthesis of hydrolytic enzymes, and cell wall reinforcement with the phenolic polymers suberin and/or lignin (Hawkins & Boudet 1996).

Within the vascular tissue, the vine responds to wounding of the vessels by the production of tyloses. Tyloses form when the protoplasmic membrane of the parenchyma cells next to the xylem cells extends into the vessel via the pit to form a balloon-like structure that, in grapes, eventually becomes lignified (Pratt 1974). When
a large number of tyloses form, they can block
the vessel. The formation of tyloses and other
responses occur over time in response to the
initial wounding event. Hawkins & Boudet
(1996) developed a model system for studying
the gene expression response to mechanical
wounding in flowering plants and used it to
investigate changes over time (1–7 days) in lignin
and suberin deposition.

The formation of tissue impervious to water
and microorganism penetration is a common
feature of wound response of woody plants
associated with resistance to pathogens (Bostock
& Stermer 1989). When physical barriers are
produced, this is often in association with
production of anti-microbial phenolics (Del Rio
et al. 2001; Treutter 2005) and a reduction in the
availability of simple sugars (and other nutrients)
at the wound site (Bostock & Stermer 1989).

Unique features of grapevines
Grapevine xylem has some distinct physiological
and structural features that distinguish it from
other flowering plants. In grapevines, the
secondary xylem is described as diffuse-porous
with ladder-like thickening surrounded by living
xylem parenchyma (Mullins et al. 1992). Septate
fibres with bordered pits are the predominant
xylem elements (Mullins et al. 1992). The xylem
cells have been observed to respond to wounding
with seasonal differences in the mechanism
for sealing grapevine trunk xylem, with gel
(temporary) closers produced in winter and
more permanent tyloses in summer (Sun et al.
2008). Most plants produce either gels or tyloses
(Sun et al. 2008). In grapevines a single ring of
xylem is produced each year, but the vessels can
remain functional for up to 7 years (Mullins et
al. 1992). Most grapevine xylem vessels become
inactive because of tylose formation after 2–3
years (Pratt 1974), rather than after a single
season as is observed in other woody plants.

Hypothesis of grapevine wound healing
When a grapevine is cut, the vine responds as
a woody plant (described above), cells die next
to the wound, signals are sent to the rest of
the tissue and undifferentiated cells start to form
a periderm. Within the vessels, gels or tyloses
form depending on the season. For a large cut
to the vine, up to 7 years of xylem may need to
be sealed to prevent water loss and microbial
entry. Phenolic compounds are deposited at the
wound, and over time the wound becomes less
susceptible to infection by trunk pathogens.
Investigations have indicated that the timing of
the wounding event can determine the length of
the wound susceptibility.

PHYSIOLOGICAL STRESS AND
SYMPTOM EXPRESSION
Interactions between disease symptom expression
and grapevine stress have been suggested as
one possible explanation for inconsistent visual
symptoms for some vascular diseases. In seasons
when growth of the vine is not inhibited by
abiotic factors, foliar symptoms of E. lata in
grapes are not often observed or are reduced in
severity (Sosnowski et al. 2007). In seasons when
vines experience abiotic stresses, individual vine
reserves may influence symptom expression.

If vine stress does have a role in symptom
expression, then the mechanism of this reaction
needs to be considered. Although grapevines are
grown in a highly managed production system,
they are still subject to the ambient climate of the
region. Some of the management methods used,
such as controlled irrigation or removal of leaf
area, may produce stress within the vine, which
can be further exacerbated by environmental
conditions. A range of abiotic stresses may occur
in vineyards; defoliation, freezing stress and
nutrient stress are factors that have been reported
to predispose forest trees to disease symptom
expression comparable to water stress for canker
diseases (Desprez-Loustau et al. 2006).

Drought/water stress
Desprez-Loustau et al. (2006) proposed four main
types of drought-disease interactions that could be
expected in forest trees. The summary below has
been broadened to include all abiotic stresses:

1. Direct effects of abiotic stress on the
pathogen.
2. Indirect effects of abiotic stress on the pathogen through other community interactions (such as an increase or decrease of naturally occurring biological control agents).

3. Host predisposition, i.e. the effect of abiotic stress on the host physiology leading to susceptibility.

4. Multiple stresses, i.e. the combined effects of infection and abiotic stress on tree physiology.

Drought-disease interactions in forest trees have been reviewed, with a majority of published studies referring to a positive association between drought and disease (Desprez-Loustau et al. 2006). When investigating drought and disease interactions for grapes, it is important to consider symptoms and timing of water stress. The symptoms of trunk diseases and water stress are often related, with both resulting in reduced movement of water in the xylem.

More than half the reviewed studies of forest tree drought-disease interactions were for canker/die-back pathogens such as Botryosphaeria (Desprez-Loustau et al. 2006), which correspond with the type of diseases that are commonly grouped as trunk diseases of grapes. In the case of Xylella spp. infection, the gene transcription response of vines is similar to responses to drought stress (Choi et al. 2010). As vascular diseases are found in the vessels and vine responses to the pathogen involve blocking infected vessels, aggregates and tyloses can physically block a sufficient number of vessels to prevent xylem flow (Martelli et al. 1986). For Pierce’s disease, the observed water stress symptoms are the result of xylem occlusions (Hopkins 1989). Beckman (1964) noted that physiological changes in the plant, such as increased respiration and changes in water balance (reduced supply), result in wilting due to lack of water, rather than toxins produced by the trunk diseases.

However, water stress or drought may also predispose a vine to disease development (Ferreira et al. 1999) or lead to a more rapid exhaustion of the vine as a result of additive deleterious effects, as reported for forest trees (Desprez-Loustau et al. 2006). Extended drought conditions have been reported to exacerbate the development of Pierce’s disease, as water-stressed vines in vineyard conditions appear more susceptible than well watered vines (Hopkins 1989).

When considering water stress, the timing of stress may also be important. For young grapevines already infected with Phaeoacremonium chlamydosporum, water stress significantly increased vine death (Ferreira et al. 1999). However, in contrast, vines that had been water stressed and then infected with Neofusicoccum luteum had a reduction in shoot die-back lesion length at 25% field capacity compared with 50 and 75% field capacity (Amponsah 2011). Desprez-Loustau et al. (2006) reported that many of the positive drought–disease interactions involved increased severity or impact of the disease rather than increased incidence of infection. For the N. luteum experiment (Amponsah 2011), existing stress may have reduced the susceptibility of tissues to infection via the blocking of vessels or other mechanisms, reducing the spread of the pathogen following infection.

**Limited resources**

For plants, a "trade-off" exists between growth and defence-related metabolism (Treutter 2005). When defence compounds are produced, they use plant resources including amino acids, carbohydrates and nutrients. The removal of these resources from the vine's pool of reserves can then have follow-on effects on plant growth. One of the typical symptoms associated with diseases such as eutypa dead-arm is reduced vine vigour. Reduced vigour may be the result of diversion of resources to a defence response or a knocking out of part of the vine's infrastructure.

The induction of flavonoid biosynthesis and accumulation as part of a defence response has been shown to be limited by the availability of carbon, energy or other resources (Treutter 2005). Amino acids within the vine may be used for the production of phenolic compounds in response to infection with botrytis bunch rot. However, the same amino acids are also used in a
number of plant biochemical pathways (Mundy 2008). If a vine has already experienced water stress and allocated other amino acids to proline production to maintain osmotic pressure (Keller 2005), then less raw material will be available to produce flavonoids as part of a plant defence.

Vines infected with *E. lata* have reduced starch storage in the xylem parenchyma cells and rays compared with healthy vines (Rudelle et al. 2005). Rudelle et al. (2005) have suggested high metabolic activity is associated with observed secretory defence responses involving well developed and more numerous mitochondria. A high metabolic activity could account for the reduced starch storage. *Eutypa lata* can produce a polypeptide fraction that induces changes in grape leaves, resulting in reduced assimilation of the products of photosynthesis and lower leaf respiration (Octave et al. 2006), which may result in further reduction in vine reserves.

Vines with *Phaeomoniella chlamydospora* had reduced carbohydrate reserves compared to the control vines during winter dormancy, even if symptoms were not expressed, and an overall loss of plant vigour (Petit et al. 2006), indicating changes in vine resources as a result of infection.

Nutrient supply may be important for disease response. In strawberries (Walter et al. 2008) and grapes (Elmer & Reglinski 2006), calcium has been associated with cell wall integrity and resistance to fungal penetration. Increases in vine uptake of calcium ions during Pierce’s disease infection, as part of the plant’s defence system, have been reported (Xu et al. 2003). Magnesium ions have been reported as a possible factor for detoxification of fungal toxins produced by *E. lata* (Colrat et al. 1999). Changes in nutrient reserves within tissues may also be related to symptom expression, with the suggestion of a link between toxic amounts of macronutrients (Ca++ and Mg++) in the petioles and leaf symptom expression in Pierce’s disease (Xu et al. 2003).

**Temperature/seasonal differences**

Increased rates for wound healing later in the pruning season (late winter to early spring) have been reported for grapevines. Reported susceptibility of grapevine pruning wounds to infection by *E. lata* during this late pruning time was lower than that of vines pruned early in the dormant season (Munkvold & Marois 1995). These observations may be linked to the reported seasonal differences in grapevine wound response of tyloses or gum formation (Sun et al. 2008). Tyloses and gums have different chemical and physical characteristics, and R genes that allow a pathogen to overcome one set of wound responses may not be effective against the other. Seasonal differences in infection and susceptibility have been reported for *E. lata* (Petzoldt et al. 1981; Munkvold & Marois 1995; Chapuis et al. 1998) and botryosphaeria canker (Úrbez-Torres & Gubler 2010). However, Chapuis et al. (1998) suggested that infection may be linked to temperature, with *E. lata* growing well at low temperatures and other microorganisms being suppressed. Temperature conditions can also influence the plant’s growth, with climatic conditions that are conducive to vigorous vine growth in spring reported to reduce foliar symptoms of *E. lata* infection (Sosnowski et al. 2007).

**TRUNK DISEASES AND POSSIBLE PATHOGENICITY FACTORS**

The grapevine wound response is effective in stopping most pathogens from entering and establishing in the vine (non-host resistance (Agrios 2005)). In order to be effective pathogens of grapevines, the casual organisms need characteristics that allow them to succeed under conditions that are not conducive to other pathogens. The vessels of grapevines are a nutrient-poor environment for the growth of microorganisms (Valtaud et al. 2009; Ciraulo et al. 2010) but do provide a pathway for movement within the trunk. The successful pathogens of the grapevine vascular system require one or more virulence genes to operate in this grapevine tissue. Researchers have studied different vascular pathogens of grapes to obtain an understanding of the processes involved in successful infection. Histopathology of infections in vine wood has been reported for a range of vascular...
Plant defence against pathogens including Botryosphaeria stevensii (Whitelaw-Weckert et al. 2006), Lasiodiplodia theobromae (Úrbez-Torres & Gubler 2010), Neofusicoccum parvum (Úrbez-Torres & Gubler 2010), Phaeomoniella chlamydospora (formerly Phaeoacremonium chlamydosporum) (Pascoe & Cottral 2000; Whiteman et al. 2002; Whiteman et al. 2007) and Xylella fastidiosa (Roper et al. 2007; Ciraulo et al. 2010).

Botryosphaeria canker
Pycnidiospores are dispersed by rain splash, spreading botryosphaeria canker via the infection of exposed xylem of pruning wounds (Úrbez-Torres & Gubler 2010). The importance of wound age for the infection of botryosphaeriaceous species has been reported, with no conidial infections of Neofusicoccum luteum 14 days after wounding (Amponsah et al. 2009). These results are consistent with studies of wound periderms (cork barriers) (Bostock & Stermer 1989), although the formation of a periderm was not investigated for N. luteum. Both duration of susceptibility of pruning wound and effect of pruning time on susceptibility of fresh wounds to infection by Lasiodiplodia theobromae and Neofusicoccum parvum have been studied in California (Úrbez-Torres & Gubler 2010). In the artificially-inoculated Californian vineyard studies, pruning vines in late, rather than early, winter resulted in reduced percentage of botryosphaeria canker infections. The mechanism for differences in infection rates is yet to be determined.

Eutypa dead-arm
The observations of Rudelle et al. (2005) suggest that vessel-associated cells are very important for the vine’s defence against trunk diseases and these cells can be activated remotely from the site of infection by toxin/signalling compounds conducted in the xylem. Vessel-associated cells appear to slow the progress of E. lata even in susceptible cultivars. However, the anatomy of the vine prevents this mechanism from completely protecting the vessels, as vessel-associated cells do not form a complete ring around the conducting xylem (Rudelle et al. 2005). Vessel-associated cells have structural analogies to phloem companion cells, but have lignified walls, and no plasmodesmata interface with the vessel element (Fromard et al. 1995).

Sosnowski et al. (2007) hypothesised that climate is one factor that may influence the expression of foliar symptoms of E. lata in grapes. They developed a conceptual model that predicts expression of symptoms based on winter rainfall and spring temperatures in conjunction with initial observations of disease severity. Additional study is required to elucidate how the climate influences the fungal pathogen or the vine response, leading to symptom expression (Sosnowski et al. 2007). In forest trees it has been observed that, while drought conditions may be negatively correlated to successful new fungal infections, they can be positively related to existing endophytes and saprophytes becoming damaging pathogens in plants predisposed by stress (Desprez-Loustau et al. 2006). While there are models for the infection stage of many diseases, modelling of climate and other life cycle stages may allow better management of vascular diseases that are already present in vineyards.

Esca complex, including Phaeomoniella chlamydospora
Pascoe & Cottral (2000) investigated Phaeomoniella chlamydospora infection of tissue cultured Chardonnay plants and observed infection of the xylem parenchyma cells, which then moved into the adjacent vascular vessels. The pathogen hyphae were observed to enter the vessels at the sites of tylose formation. Hyphae travelled along vessels and phenolic compound accumulations in the trunk tissues were not always associated with heavy concentrations of hyphae. As “goo” and other phenolic compounds were often some distance from the site of infection, a toxin or some other signalling compound may be involved, possibility explaining why P. chlamydospora is not always isolated from the sites where “black goo” is observed (Pascoe & Cottral 2000). Later research from the same group showed that in vines infected with
*P. chlamydospora*, blockages of the xylem function could be significantly higher (16%) than the percentage of vessels with "goo" symptoms (1%) (Edwards et al. 2007).

Esca disease symptoms include distinctive tiger-striped leaves with orange inter-vein regions (Mundy & Manning 2010). The observed foliar symptoms are distant from the primary site of infection (Valtaud et al. 2009). The leaf symptoms of esca are probably the result of extensive cellular oxidation following a decrease in leaf glutathione content (Valtaud et al. 2009). Intracellular structural damage can be detected in leaf cells before visible symptoms appear, suggesting modification of plant metabolism in the early stages of symptom expression (Valtaud et al. 2009).

**Pierce’s disease**
The bacterium *Xylella fastidiosa* is the causal agent of Pierce’s disease. The physiological effects of this disease have been the focus of considerable study following the arrival of an insect vector (glassy winged sharpshooter – *Homalodisca vitripennis*) into Californian vineyards. As with many of the trunk diseases, infections by *X. fastidiosa* can occur remotely in time and space from the site of symptom expression. Investigations have shown that vines often harbour high titres of *X. fastidiosa* before visual symptom expression (Choi et al. 2010). The bacteria effectively bypass the vine wound response when injected directly into the xylem from the foregut of the glassy winged sharpshooter (Redak et al. 2004). When infections do occur, *X. fastidiosa* has been shown to change the nutrient content of leaves before and during symptom expression (Xu et al. 2003).
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